Body mass index and the efficacy of needle-free jet injection for the administration of rapid-acting insulin analogs, a post hoc analysis

We recently showed in a euglycaemic glucose clamp study among 18 healthy volunteers that using jet injectors rather than conventional pens significantly improved the time-action profiles of rapid-acting insulin analogs. Here, we investigated whether such profiles were modified by body mass index (BMI) and related weight parameters by comparing insulin administration by jet injection to that by conventional pen in subgroups defined by BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, waist circumference and insulin dose. After conventional administration, times to peak insulin levels (T-INSmax) occurred 31.1 [95% confidence interval (CI) 13.7–48.5] min later and time to maximum glucose requirement (T-GIRmax) 56.9 (95%CI 26.6–87.3) min later in more obese (BMI > 23.6 kg/m²) than in lean subjects (BMI < 23.6 kg/m²). In contrast, T-INSmax and T-GIRmax were similar in subjects with high and low BMI, when insulin was administered by jet injection. We conclude that using jet injection for insulin administration may especially benefit subjects with higher body weight.
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**Introduction**

Most studies investigating the pharmacology of rapid-acting insulin analogs have been conducted in lean subjects, whereas many patients with type 1 and the majority of patients with insulin-requiring type 2 diabetes are overweight or obese. A high body mass index (BMI) may considerably delay the absorption rate and onset of action of regular insulin [1–3] and possibly that of rapid-acting insulin analogs. Such delays may be due to greater thickness of the subcutaneous tissue at the (abdominal) injection site or because higher insulin doses are required [4]. A delay in insulin absorption rate may exacerbate postprandial hyperglycaemia and, because the proportional contribution of postprandial glucose to the HbA1c increases with lower HbA1c values, interfere with the aim for tight glycaemic control [5].

In a recent study, we showed that jet injectors, which deliver insulin by means of air pressure instead of a needle, significantly advanced the time-action profile of the rapid-acting insulin analog aspart [6]. Jet injection results in a distinct spray-like dispersion pattern that ensures a larger absorptive area and faster penetration through the subcutaneous tissue compared to conventional administration by syringes or pens [7]. We hypothesized that the impact of adiposity on insulin absorption may be less when insulin is administered by jet injection. To test this hypothesis, we performed a post hoc analysis to assess whether BMI and other body weight parameters modified the pharmacology of insulin injected by jet injection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

Non-smoking healthy adults, aged 18–50 years and with a BMI of 18–28 kg/m² were enrolled and asked to provide written informed consent. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre.

**Experimental Study Design**

The research protocol has been described in detail previously [6]. Briefly, all participants underwent two 8-h euglycaemic glucose clamps, using a randomized, controlled, double-blind, double-dummy, cross-over study design. Venous catheters were placed for administration of dextrose 20% and frequent blood sampling. Insulin aspart (Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) at a dose of 0.2 U/kg body weight and a comparable volume of placebo solution (Test Medium Penfill®; Novo Nordisk) were then simultaneously injected subcutaneously on both sides of the lower abdomen. On one occasion, insulin was administered by the jet injector (InsujetTM; European Pharma Group, Schiphol Rijk, the Netherlands) and placebo by conventional pen (NovoPen III; Novo Nordisk). On the other occasion, the order was reversed.
Analytical Procedures
Plasma glucose levels were determined in duplicate, immediately after blood sampling by the glucose oxidase method (Beckmann glucose analyzer II; Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA, USA). Blood sampled for plasma insulin measurements were centrifuged and stored at −20°C for later measurement by radioimmunoassay [8].

Endpoints and Calculations
The pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic endpoints were derived from the glucose infusion rate (GIR) and the insulin concentration profile, respectively, and consisted of the time to maximal glucose infusion rate (T-GIRmax) and time to maximal insulin concentration (T-INSmax).

Statistical Analyses
All data are expressed as means with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), unless otherwise indicated. Mean outcomes were tested by paired t-tests. Pharmacologic data were analysed in subgroups defined by median BMI (highest vs. lowest 50%, n = 9 per subgroup) and by analysis of the correlations between BMI and absorption parameters both as continuous variables. Similar analyses were performed with waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and insulin dose. Correlations were calculated using the Pearson’s correlation test. All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS 16.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA). A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Five men and 13 women were included. Mean age was 27.2 years (range 19–49 years). The median body weight was 68.6 kg (50.5–93.9 kg), median insulin dose administered was 13.7 U (10.1–18.8 U), median BMI was 23.6 kg/m² (18.1–28.0 kg/m²), median WC was 80 cm (65–98 cm) and median WHR was 0.79 (0.67–0.93).

Subgroup Analysis for Conventional Insulin Pen
When insulin was injected by conventional pen, T-INSmax was 31.1 (95% CI 13.7–48.5) min later and T-GIRmax 56.9 (26.6–87.3) min later in subjects with a BMI above the median than in subjects with a BMI below the median (figure 1). Similar results were obtained in subgroups defined by WC and WHR (data not shown). T-GIRmax was significantly correlated with BMI, WC, WHR and insulin dose, and T-INSmax was associated with BMI, WC and WHR (Table 1).

Subgroup Analysis for Jet Injector
When insulin was injected by the jet injector, neither T-INSmax nor T-GIRmax differed between subjects with indices of body composition or insulin dose above median values vs. below median values (figure 1). Both T-INSmax and T-GIRmax were unrelated to BMI, WC, WHR or the insulin dose (Table 1).

Discussion
In this post hoc analysis, we show that the pharmacology of rapid-acting insulin injected by jet injection is not affected by BMI, WHR and WC. However, in accordance with previous observations in subjects with overt obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) [1–3], high BMI, WHR and WC were
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Table 1. Correlations between pharmacologic parameters and parameters of central obesity or insulin dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Conventional pen</th>
<th>Jet injector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-GIRmax</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-INSmax</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-GIRmax</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-INSmax</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-GIRmax</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-INSmax</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-GIRmax</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-INSmax</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.
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